

To: Beck, Nancy[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]; Graham, Amy[graham.amy@epa.gov]
From: Bowman, Liz
Sent: Mon 6/26/2017 6:25:00 PM
Subject: RE: Media inquiry: Response to criticism of TSCA rules

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Beck, Nancy
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 2:14 PM
To: Graham, Amy <graham.amy@epa.gov>
Cc: Bowman, Liz <Bowman.Liz@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Media inquiry: Response to criticism of TSCA rules

Amy,

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

On Jun 26, 2017, at 2:05 PM, Graham, Amy <graham.amy@epa.gov> wrote:

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Daguiard, Robert
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:51 PM
To: Graham, Amy <graham.amy@epa.gov>; Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>

Cc: Hull, George <Hull.George@epa.gov>

Subject: AMY: Media inquiry: Response to criticism of TSCA rules

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kelly Franklin <kelly.franklin@chemicalwatch.com>

Date: June 26, 2017 at 1:46:45 PM EDT

To: "Daguillard, Robert" <Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov>

Subject: Media inquiry: Response to criticism of TSCA rules

Hi Robert,

I hope you're doing well. There have been several NGOs who have criticised the EPA's TSCA framework rules as being too heavily in the industry's favor, and have suggested that Nancy Beck's role in their finalization may have contributed to this. I was wondering if the EPA would like to respond to these concerns or to the conflict of interest suggestions around Ms. Beck's involvement? My deadline is end of day today (publishing 7:30-8:00am tomorrow morning).

Thanks,

Kelly

--

Kelly Franklin

North America Editor
Chemical Watch

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy